25X1A9a Approved For Release 1999/09/01 : CIA-RDP79-00317A000100060031-0

suggested to that The Division Chiefs be asked to introduce all new professionals entering on duty with their offices at the Staff Meeting. This would give management a chance to meet them and know that they are on board.

25X1A9a

reported to the Panel that he has given 7. the Career Development Plan draft memorandum dated 28, December 1971 25X1A9a to Dr. Stevens. informed the Panel that he had not from Dr. Stevens on his views as to whether the CSP/ORD is on the right track or not. 🖿 also 25X1A9a stated that he has made known to Dr. Stevens that the opinions voiced by the CSP members have been divided; some members questioned whether the CSP should implement a program of this character as stated in the memorandum.

25X1A9a Discussion followed on the promotion recommendation from GS-9 to GS-10 as follows: 25X1A9a is the Budget and Accounting Assistant 25X1A9a to the ORD Budget and Accounting Officer, both 25X1A9a working under the supervision of C/PAS/ORD. The recommendation for promotion, prepared by 25X1A9a 25X1A9a tabled from the last meeting so that we might hear from

25X1A9a I am glad that you did wait. I did not see this memorandum before it was presented to the CSP.

Approved For Release 1999/09/01: CIA-RDP7%

Approved For Release 1999/09/01: CIA-RDP 79-00317A000100060031-0

25X1A9a

(Continued)

25X1A9a

prepared the promotion

recommendation memorandum on because he felt he was the only other employee from the SF Career Service in ORD and she was working for him. He did not circulate the memorandum to the C/SS/ORD or C/PAS/ORD, but handcarried it to the Executive Officer/Office of Finance. The EO/OF asked to submit the promotion recommendation through the proper ORD channels.

25X1A9a

25X1A9a

This memorandum was entered for CSP consideration without seeing it?

25X1A9a

25X1A9a

seemed to feel it was right to do this because he was assigned to the SF Career Service.

25X1A9a

25X1A9a

25X1A9a

25X1A9a

We are not faulting 25X1A9a

We are faulting I don't support any promotion action for

For the amount of time I have been

working with them, it has been apparent to me that they have been operating without any supervision, and they have been allowed to get away from doing the job that needs to be They are budget and finance people; they are suppose to be administering ORD funds. If they are there just to keep the account records, ORD doesn't need two people in the B&A Office. There is obviously more they should be doing as far as keeping the financial records of this office, and they should know more about what ORD is doing with their resources. I feel a GS-10 should have more of a feeling for responsibility. You are talking about promoting

Approved For Release 1999/09/01:: CIA-RDP79-00317A000100060031-0

Annual Fan Dalasas 4000/00/04 - Ola DDE

Approved For Release 1999/09/01 CARDP79-00317A000100060031-0

25X1A9a (Continued)

to a GS-10. If she is just going to do an accounting job, she shouldn't be a GS-10.

25X1A9a

Is she an administrative assistant?

No, she is a budget and accounting assistant; she does the mechanics of the job.

25X1A9a How much time does it take her to do this job?

25X1A9a

I don't know. I can find out.

25X1A9a

You' re saying there isn't enough work?

No, I am not saying that. I am saying that you don't need two people to do an accounting job.

There are budget and finance requests and needs; responses to these don't exist in ORD.

25X1A9a There are budget scales we have to respond to, and they do exist.

25X1A9a

25X1A9a

Yes, there are a number of places we must report to -- such as and his deviation reports.

ORD is reporting to a system; they are finding errors.

is doing essentially an accountant's job, and I don't think it is desrving of a GS-10. I don't think she has performed in a superior or meritorious manner in any way to get the promotion.

25X1A9a

, what would be the grade for this position in OEL.

25X1A9a

Probably the same - a GS-9.

The responsibilities of an accountant's

Approved For Release 1999/09/01 : CIA-RDP79-00317A000100060031-0

Approved For Release 1999/09/01: CIA-RDP79-00317A000100060031-0

8. (Continued)

job is normally a GS-9. Is this what you are telling me?

25X1A9a

Yes, normally a GS-9. You are asking me a question of classification. She is a finance assistant.

25X1A9a

I recommend that there should be some procedure set up so a promotion action is handled in a regular manner and doesn't surface for presentation to the CSP without the approval of the Division Chief, and that the CSP/ORD not vote on this recommendation for promotion.

25X1A9a

Does the following (reflect the consumus De we make this statement part of the

1 the Paul

on

No promotion recommendation will be forwarded to SF Career Service from the Office of Research and Development

25X1A9a

25X1A9a

I think that the CSP/ORD should make the following clear on this recommendation:

- (a) The promotion recommendation did not go through the formal channel of command.
- (b) The position description to CSP/ORD did not warrant a GS-10.

25X1A9a

Should we also add:

(c) If the person under redirection starts

Approved For Release 1999/09/01 : CIA-RDP79-00317A000100060031-0

8. (Continued)

a recommendation for performing and warrants a GS-10, it would be promotion considered by the CSP/ORD.

25X1A9a

I think we should say there was little incentive from ORD office administration to direct these people to do things. They were not getting instructions on what they were suppose to do. If this changes and the ORD B&A people start doing a budget job, ORD/CSP can take another look at it.

25X1A9a

I will make a consensus or formal recommendation

25X1A9a

from the ORD/CSP on

promotion recommendation?

Based on present task assignment and performance to date, the promotion recommendation from GS-9 to GS-10 to the SF Career Service in behalf of is rejected.

25X1A9a

25X1A9a

getting this on record. I don't think we gain a whole lot by

25X1A9a

It has been taken care of now.

25X1A9a

This should have been done before we considered the recommendation and said no. He will be banking something on the record now.

25X1A9a 25X1A9a

I think doesn't have the right to put any promotion recommendation before this Panel.

He has the right to only discuss with me whether we should present it to the Panel. I don't think you are taking anything away from him. Before coming under my

Approved For Release 1999/09/01 : CIA-RDP79-00317A000100060031-0

Approved For Release 1999/09/01-CIA-RDP79-00317A000100060031-0

8. (Continued)

supervision, he probably would have discussed such an action with the C/SS/ORD or the EO/ORD.

25X1A9a Anybody has a right to put in a promotion recommendation. 25X1A9a

25X1A9a

If we were to promote to a GS-10, ORD would have no place for her to go. Office of Finance would have to evaluate her against a GS-10 job somewhere else in the Agency under SF Career

25X1A9a Service. The fact that went out of channels in presenting the promotion recommendation is minor.

What we have discussed is that here is a particular slot classified in a certain way and the occupant has performed in a certain way. We now have made a judgment

25X1A9a on that not that went out of channels. 25X1A9a

25X1A9a It is decided then that will talk to

25X1A9a about this matter and that will call
Office of Finance and tell them of our decision since
they did receive this recommendation and recorded it.

25X1A9a

9. Stated that he had reported on the
Career Development Plan in Item #7. 25X1A9a

stated that the CSP had been working on this Plan for
a very long time. stated that this was so -

25X1A9a two years. stated that during this time he knew that it was being discussed. He felt that Dr. Stevens